

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,731	07/01/2003	Thomas Fey	FA1097USNA	7376	
23906 E I DU PONT I	7590 08/31/200 DE NEMOURS AND 0	EXAMINER			
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			CAMERON, ERMA C		
4417 LANCAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		1762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/611,731	FEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
/Erma Cameron/	1762	

•	LAGIIIIIGI	Altolik	1					
	/Erma Cameron/	1762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	HE REPLY FILED 23 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following								
time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the final rejection wh	iahawaa ia lataa Ila					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed an	cliance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within has ment						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	and the second						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, .,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			J					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.8-10,12 and 13</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other: ERMA CAMERON /Erma Cameron/								
ERMA CAMERO	N	/Erma Cameron/						
PRIMARY EXAMIN		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to change "automotive bodies" to "an automotive body" is a new issue that would require a further search and further consideration. The applicant appears to construe "an automotive body" as used in articles I, II and III to be more narrowly defined than "automotive bodies".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments in the 8/23/2007 after final amendment are most in light of the fact that the amendment is not being entered.

ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER